

Communication

Remote Substituent Effects and Regioselective Enhancement of Electrophilic Substitutions in Helical Aromatic Oligoamides

Kolupula Srinivas, Brice Kauffmann, Christel Dolain, Jean-Michel Le#ger, Le#on Ghosez, and Ivan Huc J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130 (40), 13210-13211 • DOI: 10.1021/ja805178j • Publication Date (Web): 11 September 2008 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 8, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 1 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Remote Substituent Effects and Regioselective Enhancement of Electrophilic Substitutions in Helical Aromatic Oligoamides

Kolupula Srinivas,[†] Brice Kauffmann,[†] Christel Dolain,[†] Jean-Michel Léger,[‡] Léon Ghosez,^{*,†} and Ivan Huc^{*,†}

Institut Européen de Chimie et Biologie, Université de Bordeaux–CNRS UMR 5248 and UMS3033, 2 rue Robert Escarpit, 33607 Pessac Cedex, France, and Laboratoire de Pharmacochimie, Université de Bordeaux, 146 rue Léo Saignat, 33076 Bordeaux, France

Received July 15, 2008; E-mail: l.ghosez@iecb.u-bordeaux.fr; i.huc@iecb.u-bordeaux.fr

The selective chemical transformation of a multifunctional molecule often rests on the protection of the functions that may interfere in the process. In contrast, enzymes rely on their folded conformations to recognize their substrate and achieve selective transformations without any protecting groups. It might thus be inferred that, if the substrate itself adopts a well-defined folded conformation, specific environments might result that favor reaction at one function in the presence of others without the assistance of an enzyme or the use of protecting groups. Here, we present our discovery of enhanced and remarkably regioselective electrophilic substitutions in helically folded aromatic oligoamides. We also show that this selectivity much depends on the presence of substituents remote from the reaction site.

In solution, oligoamides of 8-amino-4-isobutoxy-2-quinolinecarboxylic acid, ("Q" in Scheme 1) adopt very stable helical conformations having 2.5 units per turn and a helix pitch of 3.5 Å.¹ This study was initiated by the identification of an unexpected byproduct during their synthesis. When tetrameric acid chloride $O_2N-Q_4-Cl^2$ obtained by refluxing O_2N-Q_4-OH in distilled SOCl₂ is coupled to amine H₂N-Q₄-OMe, the expected octamer O₂N-Q₈-OMe is produced^{1b} together with a product with a similar retention coefficient (yield = 5-30%). Careful chromatographic separation followed by mass spectrometric and crystallographic analyses allowed us to unambiguously assign its structure to octamer O₂N-Q₂XQ₅-OMe. Remarkably, the third quinoline ring of this octamer carried a chlorine in position 5, a site that diverges from the helix and is exposed to the solvent (Scheme 1, conditions a).³ We concluded that the third ring of tetramer O₂N-Q₄-OH had undergone partial and selective chlorination during activation. The occurrence of electrophilic chlorination in neat SOCl₂ is uncommon by itself. It could result from thermal decomposition of SOCl₂ into SO₂Cl₂ and S₂Cl₂.⁴ But such selectivity is unprecedented and hints at some predisposition of the reaction site toward electrophilic substitution.

Systematic investigations of the bromination of Q oligomers were thus undertaken (Scheme 1, conditions b). Bromination with *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS) was preferred because it is slower and easier to monitor by ¹H NMR than chlorination with NCS. As shown in Table 1, most reactions produced selectively if not exclusively one product and were also carried out on a preparative scale. Identification was made unambiguous by extensive crystallographic characterization (see Supporting Information). Figure 1 illustrates the time course of some of these reactions. Bromination of dimer O₂N-Q₂-OMe into O₂N-QX-OMe (entry 1) is slow yet quantitative and was used as a reference. It showed that the

* Laboratoire de Pharmacochimie.

Scheme 1. Electrophilic Substitution of Quinoline-Derived Foldamers^a



 a Conditions: (a) SOCl₂, reflux (X = Cl); (b) NBS, CDCl₃, 40°C (X = Br).

Table 1. Products and Rates of Bromination of Various Oligomers^a

entry	reagent	product(s)	initial rate ^b
1	$O_2N-QQ-OMe^2$	O ₂ N-QX-OMe	3.1×10^{-4}
2	$O_2N - QQQ - OMe$	$O_2N - QQX - OMe$	4.5×10^{-3}
3	$O_2N - QQQQ - OMe$	$O_2N-QQXQ-OMe^c$	1.1×10^{-2}
4	O_2N-Q_8-OMe	$O_2N - Q_2XQ_5 - OMe^d$	2.6×10^{-2}
5	Ac-QQQQ-OMe	mixture ^e	1.9×10^{-3}
6	$NC - QQQQ - OMe^2$	NC-QQXQ-OMe	8.8×10^{-4}
7	$NC-QQ-OMe^{c}$	$NC-QX-OMe^{c}$	2.7×10^{-4}
8	O ₂ N-QQQX-OMe	O ₂ N-QQXX-OMe	3.6×10^{-5}
9	$O_2N - QXQQ - OMe$	$O_2N - QXXQ - OMe$	8.1×10^{-6}
10	cyclo-QQQ	cyclo- Q_2X , QX_2 , X_3	3.4×10^{-4f}

^{*a*} At 40 mM in CDCl₃ at 40 °C using 1 equiv of NBS. ^{*b*} In mmol·L⁻¹·min⁻¹, variations between duplicate or triplicate independent runs are less than 10%. ^{*c*} Some O₂N–QQXX–OMe is also produced (<5%). ^{*d*} Other products become significant beyond 50% completion. ^{*e*} The rate reflects the sum of the products formed. ^{*f*} Corrected for degeneracy (/3).



Figure 1. Time course of regioselective monobrominations. The numbers correspond to entries in Table 1. The lines are for guiding the eye only.

nitro group completely prevented bromination of quinoline ring 1, and that bromination at ring 2 occurred exclusively at position 5. Under the same conditions, O_2N-Q_3 -OMe was cleanly converted into O_2N-Q_2X -OMe. Bromination occurred at the third ring even though the second ring is less hindered, being the only one not involved in intramolecular aromatic stacking. Additionally, bro-

[†] Institut Européen de Chimie et Biologie.

mination was about 15 times faster than in the dimer. A common feature of the brominated quinoline rings in the dimer and the trimer is their position at the C-terminus of the strand. Yet, this feature plays no role as shown by the bromination of tetramer O_2N-Q_4-OMe (entry 3). This reaction proceeded even faster and produced selectively $O_2N-Q_2XQ-OMe$, consistent with the regioselective chlorination mentioned above. A small amount (<5%) of dibromo tetramer O_2N-Q_8-OMe is selectively monobrominated on ring 3 (entry 4), at a rate 85 times faster than the dimer; byproducts became significant (ca. 10%) only when the reaction was conducted beyond 50% completion. For example, residual electron density in the crystal structure of $O_2N-Q_2XQ_5-OMe$ suggested minor amounts of bromination on ring 5.

Our results thus showed that increasing oligomer length resulted in a rate enhancement as well as in a remarkable and surprising regioselectivity. In all cases, NMR showed no intermediates such as *N*-bromoamide or *N*-bromopyridinium in the bromination reaction. Additionally, no indication of preassociation of NBS with the helix, e.g., via intercalation, was observed. Besides, preassociation seems unlikely given that similar effects occurred when Cl₂ was the electrophile. It thus appears that the selectivity results from the *activation* of a particular position due to folding of the molecules.

We noted that, in the helically folded conformation, the site of bromination on ring 3 is relatively close in space to the terminal nitro group (d = 3.5 Å). To test whether the nitro group played any role, bromination was performed on acetamido tetramer $Ac-Q_4$ -OMe and, indeed, a complex mixture of products was obtained (entry 5). The reaction was also slower than with a terminal nitro group. However, cyano tetramer NC-Q4-OMe2 is brominated regioselectively on ring 3; the rate is 12 times slower than for O_2N-Q_4 -OMe (entry 6). Cyano and nitro are both electron withdrawing substituents but of quite different strength. They do not possess other obvious common features and it is unclear how they could both favor the reaction on ring 3 through space. Additionally, the trend of reaction rates as a function of end group (O₂N>CH₃CONH>CN) is inconsistent with classical inductive or mesomeric donor and acceptor effects that would remotely propagate through the helix backbone. This was confirmed by the bromination of dimers O2N-Q2-OMe (entry 1) and $NC-Q_2-OMe^2$ (entry 7): both compounds are too short to possess any helicity and are brominated on ring 2 at comparable rates.

Further remote substituent effects were observed during the bromination of tetramers that already possess a bromine atom on ring 4 (entry 8, $O_2N-QQQX-OMe$) or ring 2 (entry 9, $O_2N-QXQQ-OMe$). Both tetramers were prepared by stepwise assembly of the corresponding monomers (see Supporting Information). In both cases, bromination occurred selectively on ring 3, as expected, but reactions were slower than that of O_2N-Q_4-OMe by factors of 300 and 1300, respectively—they thus reacted more slowly than a dimer. It should be pointed that bromine substituents on ring 2 or ring 4 are almost radially opposed to the reaction site on ring 3, at a distance around 12 Å.

The high stability of helically folded Q oligomers,^{1,6} even in SOCl₂ (see Supporting Information), made it difficult to find media in which to monitor bromination of unfolded conformations. Yet, bromination in a nonhelical conformation could be assessed using the flat cyclo-Q₃ (entry 10).^{1f} It proceeded at the same rate as for crescent dimer O_2N-Q_2 -OMe and showed no substituent effect after the first and second bromination steps.

Taken altogether, these results show that (i) unusual regioselectivity and enhanced reaction rates resulted from a helical conformation of the oligomer; (ii) the distances between substituents are in some cases much too large for through-space effects to be involved; (iii) classical inductive or mesomeric substituent effects can also be ruled out. How does the helical aromatic backbone convey the effects of substituents? What is the exact origin of the rate enhancement and how is the steric hindrance expected in a helical conformation overcome? One may envisage that the large dispersive forces and ring current effects associated with tight aromatic stacking may enhance reactivity, and that regioselectivity might arise from local variations of steric hindrance associated with conformation dynamics such as helix springlike extension⁵ or helix handedness inversion.⁶

The enhancement of a chemical reaction within a helical aromatic oligomer has been observed in two other instances, namely the quaternization of a pyridine ring into an *N*-methyl-pyridinium,⁷ and the *N*-oxidation of pyridine rings.⁸ Pyridine quaternization, *N*-oxidation, and aromatic electrophilic substitution all proceed through the intermediate build up of a positive charge on the aromatic backbone. It might be proposed that cation- π interactions come into play to stabilize transition states,⁹ though this hypothesis was dismissed in the case of quaternization.^{7d} The presumably larger polarizability of longer helices would then results in enhanced reaction rates.⁹

Our observation of the specific reaction of a given site in an oligomeric sequence in the presence of other, a priori equivalent, reaction sites is, to the best of our knowledge, unprecedented, and so are the remote substituent effects. Extensive additional investigations, including theoretical calculations will be necessary to elucidate these phenomena. The reaction behavior of conformationally folded aromatic oligomers emerges as a field full of surprises and new challenges for chemists.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by an ANR grant (project no. NT05-3_44880) and the French Ministry of Research.

Supporting Information Available: Synthetic procedures, characterization of new compounds, NMR kinetic studies, and crystallographic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) (a) Jiang, H.; Léger, J.-M.; Huc, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3448–3449. (b) Jiang, H.; Léger, J.-M.; Dolain, C.; Guionneau, P.; Huc, I. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 8365–8374. (c) Dolain, C.; Grélard, A.; Laguerre, M.; Jiang, H.; Maurizot, V.; Huc, I. Chem.-Eur. J. 2005, 11, 6135–6144. (d) Gillies, E.; Dolain, C.; Léger, J.-M.; Massip, S.; Huc, I. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2007, 46, 214–217. (f) Jiang, H.; Léger, J.-M.; Guionneau, P.; Huc, I. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2985–2988.
- (2) In this abbreviation, the nitro or cyano groups replace the terminal NH.
- (3) We have previously presented the incorporation of this monochloro octamer in a larger foldamer Dolain, C.; Léger, J.-M.; Delsuc, N.; Gornitzka, H.; Huc, I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2005**, *102*, 16146–16151.
- (4) (a) Greenwood, N. N.; Earnshaw, A. Chemistry of the Elements; Pergamon Press: U.K., 1984; p 820. (b) Al-Shaar, A. H. M.; Gilmour, D. W.; Lythgoe, D. J.; McClenaghan, I.; Ramsden, C. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 3019–3023.
- (5) Berni, E.; Kauffmann, B.; Bao, C.; Lefeuvre, J.; Bassani, D. M.; Huc, I. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 8463–8469.
- (6) Delsuc, N.; Kawanami, T.; Lefeuvre, J.; Shundo, A.; Ihara, H.; Takafuji, M.; Huc, I. *ChemPhysChem* **2008**, DOI 10.1002/cphc.200800310.
- (7) (a) Heemstra, J. M.; Moore, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1648–1649.
 (b) Heemstra, J. M.; Moore, J. S. J. Org. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1648–1649.
 (c) Heemstra, J. M.; Moore, J. S. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1480–1481. (d) Smaldone, R. A.; Moore, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5444–5450.
 (e) Smaldone, R. A.; Moore, J. S. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1011–1013.
 (f) Smaldone, R. A.; Moore, J. S. Chem. -Eur. J. 2008, 14, 2650–2657.
- (8) Dolain, C.; Zhan, C.; Léger, J.-M.; Daniels, L.; Huc, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2400–2401.
- (9) McCurdy, A.; Jimenez, L.; Stauffer, D. A.; Dougherty, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10314–10321.
- JA805178J